

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,455	02/11/2004		Jason G. Lewis	342312004920	2240
25226	7590 12	/09/2004		EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 PAGE MILL RD				PESELEV, ELLI	
PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1623	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A li 4i No	A1:					
	Application No. 10/777,455	Applicant(s) LEWIS ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
_	Elli Peselev						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		1623					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Oc	ctober 2004						
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-29</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subjected to: Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
• •	ologion roquilomonic.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been receive	d in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	e					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/777,455

Art Unit: 1623

Claims 1-8 and 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for –O-Rd group, does not reasonably provide enablement for "substituted oxygen" or "substituted nitrogen" for the reasons set forth in the Office Action of August 31, 2004.

Applicant's arguments filed October 26, 2004 have been considered but have not been found persuasive.

It has been noted that on page 29 of the specification it is stated that "substituted oxygen" refers to the group "-O-Rd". However, said defination is not typical for said terminology. Therefore, applicant is requested to put said defination in to the claim.

Also, the defination for the terminology "substituted nitrogen" has not been found in the specification.

Claims 21-23 and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the treatment of bacterial infections, does not reasonably provide enablement for the treatment of microbial infection. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The term "microbial infection" encompasses viral infections. Since lincomycin derivatives are not known to be useful for the treatment of viral infections, there is a good reason to doubt that the claimed methods are effective in the treatment of viral infections.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,455

Art Unit: 1623

Claims 1-8 and 12-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The terminology "and prodrugs, tautomers or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof" (claims 1, 4, 12-19 and 24-25) and "prodrugs or pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof" (claims 2-3) is an improper Markush terminology. Note that the preamble of the claims reads on "A compound". However, the terminology "A compound … and prodrugs" reads on a mixture of a compound and prodrugs. Such terminology as " or a prodrug, tautomer or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof" or "or a prodrug or a pharmaceutically acceptable salt thereof" can be used to overcome the rejection.

Claims 1-10 and 12-29 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 10/642,807. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the compounds claimed in the present application are encompassed by the compounds claimed in the copending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Applicant's arguments filed October 26, 2004 have been considered but have not been found persuasive.

Since the application has not been found in condition for allowance, the above rejection has not been overcome.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,455

Art Unit: 1623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00-5.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Wilson can be reached on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elli Peselev

ELLI PESELEV PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800 Page 4